

PRESS RELEASE

**Billions of pounds of pension wealth could be saved by putting stressed pension schemes on a sounder footing**

- **Thousands of workers underestimate the risk that their pension benefits will not be paid in full from their schemes if the scheme sponsor becomes insolvent**
- **Doing nothing is unfair to younger workers**
- **The Pensions Regulator needs to use its existing powers more effectively by allowing ‘second best’ outcomes**
- **Claims that defined benefit contributions required are unaffordable are unjustified for most companies**

**LONDON Embargoed for Wednesday 21 June 2017:** The Pensions Institute, part of Cass Business School, launches today a new discussion paper calling on the Government to shift UK pensions policy towards delivering fair pensions for the greatest number of people who are members of private-sector defined benefit (DB) pension schemes. The Government needs to recognise the reality that many workers will not get their full pension because the sponsor will become insolvent well before their scheme is restored to full funding.

*“Greatest Good 2”* follows on from a previous discussion paper published in 2015, in which the Pensions Institute highlighted the acute pressure faced by many companies sponsoring DB pension schemes and their trustees as they both strive to meet their long-term promises.

As highlighted by the BHS and Tata Steel cases, many DB pension scheme sponsors could collapse under the current policy which obliges scheme sponsors to adhere to the binary outcomes of either ensuring schemes can pay benefits in full or leaving the scheme underfunded if the sponsoring company goes bust. The Pensions Institute recommends a policy of ‘second best’ outcomes, allowing schemes with weak sponsors at a risk of insolvency to negotiate settlements for their members between full benefits and the level of compensation provided through the Pension Protection Fund (PPF) safety-net.

**Professor David Blake, Director of the Pensions Institute,** said: “The difference between the potential value of negotiated benefits and PPF benefits represents a significant loss to members, sponsor organisations, PPF levy payers and society as a whole. Instead seeking ‘the greatest good for the greatest number’ would prevent the destruction of billions of pounds in economic value. It would also produce a more equitable distribution of benefits for younger members who stand to lose much more on insolvency because of the way PPF benefits are calculated. But fortunately, we find that most companies can afford to make their pension contributions.”

The discussion paper makes a number of findings and recommendations to improve the security and sustainability of UK DB pension schemes, including:

1. There is no evidence that deficit repair contributions are unaffordable on average (FTSE 100 companies pay five times more in dividends than in pension contributions) or that there is a crisis that should permit schemes across the board to reduce indexation to the statutory minimum. However, the Government should establish a statutory minimum contribution rate for all sponsors with schemes in PPF deficit, except where there is clear evidence this would make a sponsor with an otherwise realistic chance of recovery become insolvent in the near future.
2. To enable 'second-best' outcomes for stressed schemes, trustees should have access to a streamlined Regulated Apportionment Arrangement (RAA) if they conclude, based on actuarial and covenant advice, that full benefits are unlikely to be paid, that insolvency is likely, and that the result is better than insolvency.
3. The PPF compensation 'cliff-edge' is unfair for younger pre-normal retirement age members. Phased rules for PPF compensation levels to remove cliff edges would introduce greater equity between member cohorts. The phased approach should be based on age and length of service.
4. The Pensions Regulator (TPR) should collect additional funding data to create an 'early warning system' for schemes in stress to trigger interventions in order to produce better outcomes for members, sponsors and PPF levy payers.
5. TPR's existing powers could deliver second-best outcomes but it does not use them due to government policy and lack of resources.
6. Provide TPR with resources and incentives to allow 'second-best outcomes to deliver the greatest good for the greatest number', under the condition that it should produce detailed information on how its measures have been used in its annual report.
7. TPR should have powers to compel stakeholders to attend interviews where appropriate. It should also have powers to direct trustees to reduce the benefits of active and deferred members, including preserved benefits, to help deliver fairer second-best outcomes.

**Darren Redmayne, CEO of covenant advisors Lincoln Pensions,** said: "Research from both the Pensions Institute and the Pensions Regulator shows that some 10-15% of DB schemes are unlikely to deliver benefits in full to members. Under current regulation these "walking dead schemes" battle on, incurring substantial and unnecessary ongoing fees and being forced to take bigger and bigger bets on investments returns in the absence of other alternatives. In doing so, if the bet doesn't pay off, value is destroyed for members and also other PPF levy payers who will pick up the tab.

"The discussion paper finds a "third-way" for cases where the sponsor covenant is demonstrably and overtly unable to support the scheme – which aims at maximising benefits for members and save the scheme from going into the PPF. We are glad to be one of the six firms co-sponsoring this important research into potential solutions for stressed DB schemes."

-ENDS-

## Notes to Editors

### Contacts

#### Smithfield

Ged Brumby 020 3047 2527; [gbrumby@smithfieldgroup.com](mailto:gbrumby@smithfieldgroup.com)  
Fay Israsena 020 3047 2536; [firasena@smithfieldgroup.com](mailto:firasena@smithfieldgroup.com)

#### Pensions Institute

Professor David Blake [d.blake@city.ac.uk](mailto:d.blake@city.ac.uk)

The Pensions Institute at Cass Business School is the first and only independent academic pensions research organisation in the UK (<http://www.pensions-institute.org/>).

Greatest Good 2: Response to the Department of Work & Pensions Green Paper, *Security and Sustainability in Defined Benefit Pension Schemes*

Available at: <http://www.pensions-institute.org/reports/GG2.pdf>

## About the sponsors

### 2020 Trustees

2020 Trustees is headed by highly experienced and motivated professionals who share the common goal of wanting to make a difference in the field of pensions. The leadership team of 2020 Trustees are all highly respected practicing actuaries and lawyers, who have entered the trustee world in the prime of their working lives with a clear vision to address the interests of members, trustees and sponsors alike. The professional ethos of 2020 Trustees centres on improving understanding, leading discussions with sponsors and developing holistic funding strategies based on the specifics of each individual case in point. The delivering of client-specific advice from a wide perspective knowledge-base helps all stakeholders navigate safely, and appropriately, through the ever challenging pensions environment. <http://2020trustees.co.uk/>

### Cardano

Founded in 2000, Cardano is a purpose-built risk and investment specialist, and financial pioneer. We are widely recognised as being the market leaders in the provision of specialised fiduciary management and investment advisory services. We exist to help pension funds and the people they serve achieve their financial goals in a more resilient, realistic and responsible way. Our published fiduciary

management track record shows that our multi-award winning approach has worked. We have consistently outperformed our clients' liabilities, with low levels of risk, over the last decade. [www.cardano.com](http://www.cardano.com)

### **Eversheds Sutherland**

Eversheds Sutherland operates at the leading edge of business. Recognised by Acritas as a Global Elite Law Firm, it regularly advises on billion dollar deals and high profile cases on behalf of the world's most powerful corporations and financial institutions. In an era of increasing globalisation, Eversheds Sutherland is unique in its multi-jurisdictional project management approach and commitment to seamless service delivery across its 50+ offices. United by a shared vision, values and understanding of what its clients really want, its lawyers provide top quality legal advice whether they are operating locally or across borders from its bases in Europe, the United States, the Middle East, Asia and Africa. <http://www.eversheds-sutherland.com/global/en/index.page>

### **Lane Clark & Peacock**

LCP is a leading firm of financial, actuarial and business consultants, specialising in the areas of pensions, investment, insurance and business analytics. Its pensions de-risking team has a wealth of experience in helping trustees and sponsoring employers to deliver bespoke solutions to challenging pensions issues, and is widely recognised as the leader in the field. LCP has advised on many high-profile PPF+ cases and prides itself on taking a creative and innovative approach. [www.lcp.uk.com](http://www.lcp.uk.com)

### **Lincoln Pensions**

Lincoln Pensions is the leading UK provider of employer covenant analysis and related independent financial advice to schemes and sponsoring employers. Its senior team possesses a breadth of experience unrivalled by any of its competitors including credit analysis, corporate finance, regulatory, legal and actuarial expertise. By providing advice to either trustees or companies, its clients can benefit from both perspectives in funding negotiations. Lincoln Pensions strives to provide independent, thoughtful and helpful covenant advice which can be used to support negotiations relating to scheme specific funding, M&A, or other corporate events. [www.lincolnpensions.com](http://www.lincolnpensions.com).

### **Rothesay Life**

Rothesay Life was established in 2007 and has become one of the leading providers of regulated insurance solutions in the UK market for pensions de-risking, making payments of around £700m a year from over £19 billion of insurance contracts. In 2015, Rothesay Life has received over £2.2 billion of bulk annuity premiums from pension funds to date (2014: £1.7bn). Its strong growth has been achieved through the steady accumulation of pension scheme clients and significant strategic acquisitions. <http://www.rothesaylife.com/>