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Behavioural economics ...

- Behavioural economics combines economics, finance, psychology and sociology
- Standard economic theory fails to take human behaviour into account
- Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein¹ define two types of consumers:
  - ‘Econs’ who are highly rational consumers
  - ‘Humans’ who are subject to behavioural traits that limit their ability to implement their intended financial plans
- Thaler and Sunstein believe that few people are “Econs”
- Most of us are “Humans” and we need “nudges”

Outline of presentation

- Needs, risks and financial resources
- Retirement income products
- Optimal use of products
- Behavioural barriers
- Choice architecture and nudges
- Conclusions
Needs, Risks and Financial Resources
Income needs in retirement are not smooth or certain

- **Active life** - Higher income
- **Less active** - Lower income
- **Residential care** - Higher income
Living through retirement can be a bit like snakes and ladders ...

- There are many events that can impact even a well structured retirement income plan
- Many are unpredictable and essentially random or at least the time of their occurrence is random
There are many snakes …

- Loss of or inability to find post-retirement work
- Poor investment returns
- Periods of high inflation
- Changes in taxation and benefit rules
- Failure of private pensions
- Unexpected needs of dependants
- Divorce
- Ill health
- Death of a spouse
- Funding for long-term care
Regrettably there are fewer ladders …

- Part-time working
- State benefits and allowances
- Inheritance from elderly parents
- Lower inflation
- Higher investment returns
- Marriage
- Opportunity to use housing equity
The analogy to a snakes and ladders game is not quite right …

- Snakes and ladders is essentially a game of chance with limited tactical or strategic options
- There is limited opportunity to mitigate against the impact of hitting a snake
- HOWEVER pensioners can be helped to mitigate the impact of the shocks
- AND in real life sadly you can’t hit a snake at age 99 and revert back to age 85!
Total wealth for ages 55 to 64 in 2005

£bn

Financial wealth | Housing wealth | Physical wealth | Pensions

Income | Equity release | Annuities

DB pension | DC pension | Pension in payment
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Retiree segmentation 50+ pre-retirement

- **Low Income and Wealth**
  - 3 million (35%)
  - Net income below £10,000
  - Mean financial wealth £2,200

- **Mass Market**
  - 4 million (45%)
  - Net income between £10,000 and £30,000
  - Mean financial wealth £13,300

- **Mass Affluent and High Net Wealth**
  - 1.8 million (20%)
  - Net income above £30,000
  - Mean financial wealth £71,400

Retirement Income Products
Products considered

DC income products:
- Conventional annuities
- Income drawdown
- Investment-linked annuities
- Variable annuities
- Limited period annuities

Non-pensions products:
- Cash and guaranteed bonds
- Life bonds and variable annuities
- PLAs and immediate-needs annuities
- Property
- More specialist investments
Death benefits under a money-back annuity

On death any excess of the original purchase price over the gross annuity payments already received is returned to the annuitant’s estate.

Source: Own calculations 100% PNMA00 2010 plus improvements in-line with CMI_2009_M [1.00%]
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Money-back annuities phase full annuitization

Money-back annuities allow annuitization to increase gradually over the early years of the contract

PROPORTION OF FUND ANNUITIZED

£

Annuitized fund
Fund returned on death

Source: Own calculations 100%
PNMA00 2010 plus improvements in-line with CMI_2009_M [1.00%]
Optimal Use of Products
Optimal retirement income planning

Successful retirement income planning =
Ensuring a dependable post-tax income stream for life … while preserving as much accumulated wealth as possible
Retirement income planning is inevitably about trade offs

- Income and expenditure today vs. Income and expenditure later
- Higher income and expenditure vs. Higher inheritance
- Protecting against future inflation vs. Higher immediate income
- More investment risk vs. More certainty
- Buying longevity insurance vs. Taking risk

Personal circumstances will influence the right decisions for an individual

Key option is the trade off between death benefit and income
It is not a question of IF but WHEN pensioners should annuitize

Limited value from annuitization – Death benefit seen as more valuable.

Annuitization essential to provide income for life

Survivor credit %
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Source: Own analysis; 100% PNMA00 2010 plus improvements in-line with CMI_2009_M [1.00%]; Survivor credit = qx / (1 - qx)
Optimal age to annuitize is later for females and couples

Survivor credit
Male life aged 65

Survivor credit
Female life aged 65

Survivor credit - Joint lives aged 65

Source: 100% PNMA00 2010 plus improvements in-line with CMI_2009_M [1.00%]; Survivor credit = q_x / (1-q_x)
An annuity is the best option for most of the mass market …

- Most pensioners have limited means
- Mass market households are likely to have to accept a relatively simple strategy with default options
- Their primary focus will be on maximizing retirement income
- Level annuity may be appropriate given size of fund, earnings + inflation protection of state benefits and shape of the income need curve
- They are likely to have to rely on the state and any housing equity for providing for their health care and other retirement contingencies
- Bequests - mainly in the form of residual housing equity - are typically left more by chance
Optimization for the mass affluent is very complex

- Very difficult task to optimize the controlled run down of a pensioner’s assets
- Pensioners in their 60s looking forward to retirement of 20 years or more
- Decumulation investment strategy far more complex than accumulation
- Mass affluent need to take account of inflation risk
- Pensioners have varying needs and face many risks
- Optimal solutions are likely to differ
The optimal solution in early retirement is generally to retain flexibility with some downside insurance

Given doubt and uncertainty in early years of retirement:

- Generally makes sense for pensioners with sufficient wealth to retain flexibility and control
- Pensioners should look to secure a base income, and …
- Use insurance solutions to reduce the uncertainty from adverse events
- Annuities have a role to play as life expectancy reduces
- Key role for home ownership and equity
As life expectancy reduces optimization becomes easier

- Fewer risks to cater for
- Investment considerations become easier
- Income expenditure less volatile when people enter care homes

There is a narrowing funnel of doubt based on life expectancy

- Drawdown SIPP
- With-profits and flexible annuities
- Pension annuities
- Temporary annuities
- ISAs
- Insurance
- Inflation linked bonds
- PLAs
- Immediate-needs annuity
- Equity release
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Behavioural Barriers
Rational for mass affluent not to annuitize

- Wealth
- Flexibility
- Bequests
- Inflation risk
- Money’s worth
- Ad hoc expenditure
- Couples
- Phasing
“Humans” are poorly equipped to take rational actions

- Poor financial literacy
- Poor understanding of effects of inflation
- Poor at estimating life expectancy
- Poor understanding of the variability of actual lifetimes
Consumers don’t understand variability in life expectancy

Expected distribution of deaths: male 65

Life expectancy = 87.8
Most likely age at death = 91

25% Idiosyncratic risk

% deaths at each age

Expected distribution of deaths: male 85

Most likely age at death = 85
Life expectancy = 92.1

26% will reach 95 and 7% will reach 100

% deaths at each age

Source: 100% PNMA00 2010 plus improvements in-line with CMI_2009_M [1.00%]
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“Humans” experience behavioural traits and influences that limit their ability to take rational actions

- Too much choice
- Aversions
- Undervaluing the future
- Framing, herding and norming
Annuity puzzle: Choice

Too much choice may mean no decision at all

---

![Graph showing consumer benefit and range of choice with two curves: one for conventional economics indicating more choice is better, and another for behavioural economics indicating an optimum level of choice.](image-url)
Annuity puzzle: Aversions

- Planning
- Large long-term transactions
- Losing control
- Dealing with complex problems
- Facing reality with inadequate savings
- Paying for advice
- Making losses
- Taking risk
Annuity puzzle: Hyperbolic discounting

- Overvalue the present and undervalue the future
- Poor understanding of the distant future
- Leads to overconfidence and self-control problems
- Leads to ‘Humans’ having a tendency to over consume today and so face the possibility of running out of retirement assets before they die
Annuity puzzle: Cumulative Prospect Theory

Over-estimate the likelihood of low-probability events and underestimate the likelihood of high-probability events.
Annuity puzzle: Using framing effect

- Sample of 5,000 workers in large corporations asked if they would prefer an annuity that paid a constant £7,000 per year or one that was initially £4,900, but rose in line with inflation.
- One half of the group was shown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Level annuity</th>
<th>Inflation-linked annuity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>4,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>10,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>11,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

65% chose level annuity and 26% inflation-linked

Annuity puzzle: Using framing effect

- The other group was shown:

48% chose level annuity and 41% inflation-linked

In summary all this leads ‘humans’ to ...

- Overvalue the present and undervalue the future
- Overconfidence and self-control problems
- A tendency to over consume today and so face the possibility of running out of retirement assets before they die
- Inertia and procrastination
- Failure to plan
- No sense that an annuity is a smart thing to buy
- Sub-optimal decisions
- Solution is to ‘nudge’ them towards making decisions that improve their welfare (or use compulsion!)
Choice Architecture and Nudges
SPEEDOMETER retirement expenditure plan

Spending Optimally Throughout Retirement

- **First**, make a plan
- **Second**, secure “essential” income
- **Third**, have insurance and a “rainy day” fund to cover contingencies
- **Fourth**, secure “adequate” income
- **Fifth**, achieve a “desired” standard of living and make bequests

A universal plan for all retirees
First, make a plan

- Either ... by using an on-line or telephone-based service providing generic financial advice
- Or ... if wealth permits, involving a financial adviser whose role is to assist with making and implementing the plan and conducting annual reviews
Second, secure “essential” income

- Plan manages all assets and income sources holistically to secure essential income
- Defined as the minimum, core inflation-protected income sufficient to meet the retiree’s ‘essential’ needs for the remainder of their (and their spouse’s) life.
Third, have insurance and a “rainy day” fund to cover contingencies

- Use insurance solutions, when available and cost effective, to cover contingencies,
- Where appropriate, rely on state support
- Where possible, maintain flexibility by holding sufficient assets to meet uninsurable shocks (i.e., a ‘rainy day’ fund)
Fourth, secure “adequate” income

- Secure an ‘adequate’ level of life-long income above the minimum if there is sufficient wealth
- ‘Adequate’ income defined as that needed to achieve the minimum lifestyle to which the pensioner aspires in retirement.
Fifth, achieve a “desired” standard of living and make bequests

- The plan uses a simplified choice architecture for managing any residual wealth
- Aim of achieving a ‘desired’ standard of living in retirement, while allowing part of the remaining wealth to be bequested at a time of the retiree’s choosing.
How a SPEEDOMETER plan deals with behavioural traits

- Use of inertia and procrastination
- Use of defaults
- The plan NOT the member deals with complexity of decumulation
- Use of commitment devices
- Use of money back annuities
- Use of phasing
- Positive norming via effective communication
- The slogan ‘spend more today safely’ to reinforce the idea that ‘buying an annuity is a smart thing to do’.
Conclusions
The **SPEEDOMETER** plan involves just four key behavioural nudges:

- First, make a plan
- Automatic phasing of annuitization
- Capital protection in the form of ‘money-back’ annuities
- The slogan ‘spend more today safely’ to reinforce that ‘buying an annuity is a smart thing to do’.
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